



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/039,128	01/07/2002	Harvey C. Eisenberg		1072
23908 7:	590 03/09/2006		EXAM	INER
RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP			HORWAT, JENNIFER A	
1621 EUCLID NINETEENTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44115			3737	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/039,128	EISENBERG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer Horwat	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 ⊆</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-47 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the sheet o	cepted or b) objected to by a drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Applority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	E) Aleting of Infor	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species, which due to the large number are identified by only their significant features. The listing of species is not to be limited by the features explicitly listed here: 1) a multimodality imaging system including at least one x-ray source and detector array operatively attached to a plate member which rotates, 2) a multimodality imaging system including detector arrays stationarily mounted on at least one gantry, 3) a

Art Unit: 3737

multimodality imaging system including one detector array operatively attached to a plate member and two detector arrays not attached to a plate member, 4) a multimodality imaging system with a sequencing control system, 5) a multimodality imaging system with a scatter rejection device, 6) a multimodality imaging system with a scatter correction device, 7) a multimodality imaging system including an apparatus to geometrically dither at least one focal spot, 8) a multimodality imaging system including a gating system, 9) a multimodality imaging system including a dynamic control system, 10) a multimodality imaging system including an injector apparatus, 11) a multimodality imaging system which produces whole body x-ray VCT volume images, 12) a multimodality imaging system including an adaptive dose control system, 13) a multimodality imaging system including an interventional image control system, 14) a multimodality imaging system including a minimally invasive robotic system, 15) a multimodality imaging system including a transmission scatter fraction correction system, and 16) a multimodality imaging system with multiple detector arrays and imaging apparatus allowing concurrent imaging for PET and NM/SPECT. The species are independent or distinct because the inventions, as identified above, are directed to related imaging systems. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, species 1, 2, and 3 are distinct as the inventions as claimed as they have a both a materially different mode of operation and are not

Art Unit: 3737

capable of use together. The same detector array cannot be both operatively attached to a plate member and stationarily mounted on the gantry simultaneously and therefore have a different mode of operation. Similarly, in regards to species 5 and 6, a scatter rejection device and a scatter correction device are two different and distinct methods of improving image quality. Species 4 and 7-16 each have a materially different function and effect on the system and are each distinct from each species claimed. For example, a dose control system is used to monitor the amount of radiation should be used for both patient health and image quality, while a minimally invasive robotic system is used to control laparoscopic tools, and a gating system is used to either retrospectively or prospectively gate image acquisition to a physiological parameter, such as heartbeat.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for 4. prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Horwat whose telephone number is (571) 272-2811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jah 2/27/06

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700